

LGT Fund Management Company Ltd. Herrengasse 12, FL-9490 Vaduz

Phone +423 235 21 44 Igt.plf@lgt.com, www.lgt.com HR No.: 2004353-5, Reg. Office: 9490 Vaduz, VAT No. 50119 UID: CHE-134.016.399

31 March 2023

Article 10(1) statement in respect of the Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector, concerning

- Product Name: LGT PB Funds SICAV LGT PB Sustainable Global Equity Fund (the "Fund").
- Legal Entity Identifier: 529900N86K5IWM6E1N73

All capitalized terms herein contained shall have the same meaning in this Article 10(1) statement as in the constituting documents of the Fund, unless otherwise indicated. For further details on the definitions used herein, please refer to the constituting documents of the Fund.

The Fund meets the criteria of a financial product in accordance with Article 8 of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector, as may be amended from time to time. The Management Company reserves the right to reassess this classification at any time.

| (a) Summary | The environmental and social characteristics promoted by this Fund consist of investing in fixed income and equity of corporates and fixed income of states resulting in good to excellent Environmental, Social and Governance LGT Sustainability Ratings on portfolio level and to a smaller extent in alternative investments with generally no or low ESG quality while a) excluding corporate issuers by product (thermal coal, controversial weapons, tobacco, gambling, armaments, pornography, nuclear power) and by conduct (UN Global Compact violation); b) excluding sovereign issuers for not signing international conventions on controversial weapons and because of UN sanctions, lack of political rights and civil liberties ("unfree countries"), lack of press freedom, high level of corruption; c) having a lower ecological footprint on asset-weighted basis of the portfolio than its strategic asset allocation in four dimensions: greenhouse gas emissions, water withdrawal, energy consumption and waste generation. |
|--|--|
| (b) No sustainable investment ob- jective | The Fund promotes environmental or social characteristics, but does not have as its objective sus- tainable investment. |
| (c) Environmental or social char- acteristics of the financial product | The investment objective of the Fund is a positive contribution to a sustainable future including environmental, social and economic aspects to the same extent. |

| | In order to achieve this investment objective, the Fund follows predefined binding elements of his investment strategy used to select the investments. These binding elements are: |
|-------------------------|--|
| | a) adherence to the above-mentioned exclusions, including the LGT-wide exclusions applied on corporates involved with certain involvements. For details, please refer to https://www.lgt.com/en/commitment/sustainability/sustainable-investments/); b) adherence to the LGT Sustainability Rating generated in house: The portfolio's average rating must be at least 4 stars (out of 5 attainable stars with 5 being the highest rating and one star the lowest) Products rated 1-star are automatically excluded from investments, and at least 70% of the portfolio's assets must have a LGT Sustainability Rating. In addition, 50% of the portfolio must be rated 4- or 5-stars; c) a smaller environmental footprint on an asset-weighted basis, comparing the portfolio with the relevant strategic asset allocation (benchmark) in four dimensions: GHG emissions, energy consumption, waste generation and water withdrawal. |
| | This strategy is implemented in the investment process continuously by pre-trade and periodic post- trade checks against the binding elements performed by portfolio management and compliance. |
| | The policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies is to apply the govern- ance-related sustainability indicators used in the LGT Sustainability Rating to every corporate invest- ment (fixed income and equities). |
| | a) sound management structures are checked via "board independence and expertise" as well as via "ownership structure"; |
| | b) employee relations are checked via "integration of sustainability aspects in corporate strategy; c) resummention integration and EEC aris |
| | c) remuneration issues are checked via "compensation policy (long-term and ESG-ori- ented)"; d) two events is a second via "compensation policy". |
| | d) tax compliance issues are checked via "accounting practices"; e) In addition, the governance-related sustainability indicators are accentuated in the event of controversies: negative news in relation to the tax compliance of a given company, for instance, is factored in the LGT Sustainability Rating as a deduction from the score originally achieved by a company for the same indicator. |
| | In addition, the governance-related sustainability indicators are accentuated in case of controver- sies: negative news in relation to tax compliance of a given company for instance, are factored in the LGT Sustainability Rating as a deduction from the score originally reached by a company. |
| (d) Investment strategy | a) The investment strategy is focused on the investment objective of this Fund: positive contribution to a sustainable future - for the environment, society and the economy. Ecological, social and economic sustainability have the same importance in the investment strategy of this Fund while above average sustainability quality according to the LGT Sustainability Rating has to be given at any time. |
| | b) The policy to assess good governance practices is to apply the governance-related sus- tainability indicators used in the LGT Sustainability Rating to every corporate investment (fixed income and equity): |
| | Sound management structures are checked via "board independence and expertise" as well as via "ownership structure"; |
| | Employee relations are checked via "integration of sustainability aspects in corporate strategy"; |

| | Remuneration issues are checked via "compensation policy (long-term and ESG ori- ented)"; |
|--|---|
| | 4) Tax compliance issues are checked via "accounting practices"; In addition, the gov- ernance-related sustainability indicators are accentuated in case of controversies: negative news in relation to tax compliance of a given company for instance, are factored in the LGT Sustainability Rating as a deduction from the score originally reached by a company in this very indicator. |
| (e) Proportion of investments | The minimum proportion of the investments of the Fund used to meet the environmental or social characteristics promoted in accordance with the binding elements of the investment strategy is 80%. The minimum proportion of sustainable investments of the Fund is 50%. |
| (f) Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics | The environmental or social characteristics and the sustainability indicators mentioned in pre-con- tractual disclosure are monitored throughout the lifecycle of the Fund like follows: |
| | 1) Pre-deal: screening of single-line equity and bond investments with "good" (4-star rated invest- ments according to the LGT Sustainability Rating) to "excellent" (5-star rated investments according to the LGT Sustainability Rating) and collective vehicles with at least "average" (3-star rated invest- ments according to the LGT Sustainability Rating) sustainability quality takes place in order to mirror the asset allocation requirements set by the Investment Committee of LGT Bank. The minimum environmental and social safeguard checks for 3-/4- and 5-star rated collective vehicles are thor- oughly conducted by the Fund Research Team. |
| | 2) Holding period: daily checks on whether above mentioned ratings for the single-line and collec- tive vehicles are intact - changes are especially likely during reporting season and in cases of inten- sified company/country related news flows. |
| | 3) End of life: single-line bond and equity investments as well as collective vehicles are divested in cases of adaptations in the asset allocation requirements set by the Investment Committee of LGT Bank and/or deteriorating financial and/or sustainable attractiveness or in cases of profit taking. Single names that have initially been included in the portfolio with a 4- or 5-star rating and experienced a subsequent deterioration of their sustainability quality to 3-stars have to be divested within 30 days. However, it is possible to pitch for an investment in a 3-star rated single name at the ESG Committee of LGT Bank. The LGT Bank ESG Committee might grant the PM Team Sustainability exceptional allowance of investing in such a 3-star rated single-line investment - those exceptions from the rule have to be documented in writing. |
| | This strategy is implemented in the investment process continuously by pre-trade and post-trade checks against the binding elements performed by portfolio management, the internal ESG Committee as well as by compliance. |
| (g) Methodologies | The proprietary ESG rating system uses data from renowned external data providers that specialize in ESG related data. The data can both be qualitative or numeric and is then evaluated using pro- prietary algorithms that include scoring of certain data items, comparing data to relevant peer groups etc. to arrive at a certain number of KPI scores related to E, S, and G topics. These KPI scores are then weighted to arrive at the overall numeric ESG rating for companies and government-re- lated investments. The selection of data used and the respective weights of the KPIs used are set by the investment manager and can vary across different business activities of the underlying assets. |
| | Additionally, environmental footprint measures are also calculated for companies, normalizing en- vironmental impact measures like greenhouse gas emissions, energy consumption, water with- drawal and waste generation by companies' revenues or enterprise value. Finally, the products and |

| | | services of companies are assessed with respect to their environmental and social impact, using proprietary numeric impact measures as well as companies' revenues from the different products or services. These measures are also used to assess the ESG characteristics of the underlying assets. In the case of missing data, data is estimated using values from company peers with respect to industry and geographical location. Overall, 10% to 15% of the data used is estimated. As the measures used are all based on data and algorithmic assessment of the data, data errors can invalidate the results in some cases. Therefore, both automated and manual data checks are implemented prior to calculating any results. Additionally, the results are cross checked before investment decisions are made. |
|------------|--|--|
| (h) | Data sources and processing | The sustainability indicators used comprise the overall ESG score derived as described above, as well as individual E, S, and G scores. Additionally, the environmental impact measures of companies' operations and the numerical environmental and social impact measure for companies' products and services are also used. All measures are Portfolio Management Mandate "Focus Sustainability" - Sustainability-related disclosure 4 aggregated to the overall portfolio level and then either compared to the respective benchmark or assessed on a standalone basis to assess the ESG character-istics of the portfolio. |
| (i) | Limitations to methodologies and data | Limitations to methodologies and data are: The proportion of estimated data could result in an incomplete assessment of an investment's ESG quality. We are addressing such limitation by sourcing data from many different renowned ESG data providers to reduce the proportion of estimated data. However, it heavily depends on the market and regulatory bodies to address this limitation, i.e. how market standards / regulations require a company to report on more ESG data and ensure the respective quality of ESG data. As the proportion of estimated data is relatively small, we believe it does not affect the attainment of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Fund. Methodology used to calculate the ESG rating of investments might be out of date in the long term and therefore not ensure accurate assessment of an investment's ESG quality. This limitation is addressed through constant improvement of ESG ratings methodology, reflecting the latest market and regulatory developments. |
| (j) | Due diligence | Due diligence on the underlying assets of the Fund is carried out as depicted under (f) above in the investment process continuously by pre-trade and post-trade checks against the binding elements and performed by portfolio management, the internal ESG Committee as well as by compliance via the bookkeeping tool Avaloq. |
| (k) (l) | Engagement policies Designated reference bench- mark | n/a The Fund has not designated an index as reference benchmark for its investment activities |